



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/766,503	01/28/2004	Dan E. Fischer	7678.811	3475
22913	7590	12/04/2008		
Workman Nydegger 1000 Eagle Gate Tower 60 East South Temple Salt Lake City, UT 84111				
EXAMINER				
SINGH, SATYENDRA K				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1657				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
12/04/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/766,503		FISCHER, DAN E.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	SATYENDRA K. SINGH		1657	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Satyendra K. Singh. (3) John M. Guynn (Attorney of record).
 (2) Sandy Saucier (Primary Examiner). (4) Dan E. Fischer (Inventor).

Date of Interview: 02 December 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☒ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: Applicant presented and demonstrated the wetting properties of the material for "dry covering" used in the Implant device as claimed.

Claim(s) discussed: of the record, esp. claim 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: of the record.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's attorney of record Mr. John M. Guynn and inventor Mr. Dan Fischer discussed about the superiority of the oxidised cellulose used for the claimed invention for its capability to gelatinize upon contact with water and body fluid, and to retain the bone growth material within the extraction site for repair of tooth cavity. Applicants may amend and/or present arguments to further the prosecution of this case..

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Sandra Saucier/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1651